Hypothesis: Could Excessive Fructose Intake and Uric Acid Cause Type 2 Diabetes?
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We propose that excessive fructose intake (>50 g/d) may be one of the underlying etiologies of metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes. The primary sources of fructose are sugar (sucrose) and high fructose corn syrup. First, fructose intake correlates closely with the rate of diabetes worldwide. Second, unlike other sugars, the ingestion of excessive fructose induces features of metabolic syndrome in both laboratory animals and humans. Third, fructose appears to mediate the metabolic syndrome in part by raising uric acid, and there are now extensive experimental and clinical data supporting uric acid in the pathogenesis of metabolic syndrome. Fourth, environmental and genetic considerations provide a potential explanation of why certain groups might be more susceptible to developing diabetes. Finally, we discuss the counterarguments associated with the hypothesis and a potential explanation for these findings. If diabetes might result from excessive intake of fructose, then simple public health measures could have a major impact on improving the overall health of our populace. (Endocrine Reviews 30: 96–116, 2009)

I. Introduction

Although diabetes was described by Aretaeus, Galen, and Paracelsus, by the mid to late 1800s William Prout (1) and others recognized that diabetes could have two presentations: one manifesting as a rapidly progressive and wasting condition in a thin and feeble individual (likely type 1 diabetes), and a slower and more progressive disease in an overweight or obese subject (likely type 2 diabetes) (1, 2). Both conditions were rare; indeed, Osler (3) projected a prevalence of approximately two or three cases per 100,000 population in Europe and North America. By the early 1900s, however, a remarkable rise in the prevalence of the second type of diabetes was observed in Europe and the United States (4). Similarly, a dramatic increase in diabetes was observed in a number of tropical countries (5). In these early reports, the type of subject developing diabetes was often wealthy, overweight, and living in an urban environment (4, 5). However, over the last 50 yr there has been a transition such that diabetes is now increasing most rapidly among the poor and minorities (6). Although some of the increase in diabetes prevalence may be due to the increasing longevity of the population, an increase in the rate of type 2 diabetes is also being observed among the young, suggesting that an active process is driving the epidemic. Today diabetes is present in over 217 million individuals worldwide. Approximately 7% of the U.S. adult population has type 2 diabetes that carries a yearly financial burden of over $130,000,000,000 (7). Over the next few decades a remarkable increase in diabetes is projected, especially in Asia and India (8). By 2030, over 350 million people are projected to suffer from this condition, making it one of the most serious diseases of humankind (7, 8).

Identifying the etiology of type 2 diabetes is key to prevention. The frequent association of diabetes with obesity has led many investigators to propose that obesity may be responsible for up to 90% of type 2 diabetes (9). Obesity, and in particular intraabdominal fat accumulation, has been...
shown to induce insulin resistance via several mechanisms, and insulin resistance is considered the central pathogenic mechanism underlying type 2 diabetes (10). Nevertheless, studies in certain populations, such as Asians, have documented high rates of type 2 diabetes in the absence of classical obesity (11,12). There are also many obese subjects that do not have diabetes. This suggests that whereas obesity may be a risk factor, other pathogenic factors may exist that could contribute to the epidemic of type 2 diabetes. Furthermore, whereas central obesity is a likely mechanism for the development of diabetes, Kahn and Flier (10) have also stated that “it is possible that an unknown common factor, either genetic or environmental, produces both insulin resistance and the central pattern of regional adiposity.”

Although insulin resistance is characteristic of the subject with type 2 diabetes, insulin resistance also precedes its development. Indeed, a major breakthrough was the observation that diabetes is often presaged by a constellation of signs associated with insulin resistance, which has since been described as the “metabolic syndrome.” Although recognized by several investigators during the last century (13–19), the presence of this syndrome was best characterized by Reaven (20) in the late 1980s. The metabolic syndrome is currently defined as having at least three of five characteristic signs (abdominal obesity, impaired fasting glucose, hypertriglyceridemia, low high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, and elevated blood pressure) (21). However, other conditions are also associated with metabolic syndrome, including fatty liver (nonalcoholic steatohepatitis), mild kidney disease, and the presence of endothelial dysfunction, systemic inflammation, and oxidative stress. Today the metabolic syndrome affects over 55 million (26.7%) individuals in the United States, and rates continue to rise (22).

More recently there has developed a debate over whether the metabolic syndrome is clinically useful above and beyond its individual components and whether it should be considered a disease entity (23,24). Some studies also suggest that the metabolic syndrome represents multiple clusters of signs (25). On the other hand, if the syndrome represented a common pathway for the development of diabetes, as suggested by one study (26), then considering metabolic syndrome a disease entity would be reasonable.

In this paper we present the hypothesis that many cases of metabolic syndrome, as well as type 2 diabetes, may have a single etiology. Specifically, we revisit the old hypothesis that excessive intake of sugar, and in particular fructose, may be an important cause of type 2 diabetes. We define excessive intake of fructose as more than 50 g/d based on population studies suggesting that obesity rates are greater than 10% when mean intake increases beyond this amount (27). The hypothesis that sugar consumption might predispose to diabetes was entertained by the famous diabetologist, Frederick Allen (28), as well as by other investigators of the early 20th century (4,5,29). The hypothesis was resurrected in the 1960s, particularly by Campbell and Yudkin (30–34), but it has largely been eschewed, and restriction of sugar has not been recommended as a means to prevent diabetes by the American Diabetes Association (35).

However, recent studies from our group and others have provided evidence for how sucrose, and in particular its component fructose, may cause diabetes. Fructose intake is associated with the metabolic syndrome, thus supporting this latter condition as a disease entity. Furthermore, fructose appears to cause insulin resistance through classic (adiposity-based) mechanisms as well as mechanisms independent of energy intake or weight gain (36,37). To better understand how fructose acts, we will first review certain unique characteristics of its metabolism.

II. Unique Characteristics of Fructose Metabolism

Fructose is a simple sugar that is present in fruits and honey and is responsible for their sweet taste. However, the major source of fructose worldwide is sucrose, or table sugar, which is derived from sugar cane and sugar beets. Sucrose is a disaccharide that consists of 50% fructose and 50% glucose. After ingestion, sucrose is degraded in the gut by sucrase, releasing free fructose and glucose that are then absorbed. In addition to sucrose, the other major source of fructose is high fructose corn syrup (HFCS), which was introduced in the early 1970s as an additional sweetener. HFCS consists of free fructose and glucose mixed in a variety of concentrations, but most commonly as 55% fructose and 45% glucose. In the United States, HFCS and sucrose are the major source of fructose in the diet, and HFCS is often a major ingredient in soft drinks, pastries, desserts, and various processed foods.

Fructose is absorbed in the intestine via specific transporters [glucose transporter 5 (Glut 5), Glut 2, and possibly SLC2A9] and undergoes metabolism largely (50–75%) by the liver, with the rest being primarily metabolized by the kidney and adipocytes (38,39). Although fasting concentrations are low (10–60 μM), postprandial concentrations may reach 2.2 mM in the portal system and 1 mM systemically (40–42).

The uptake of fructose by cells is largely mediated by Glut 5 and Glut 2 transporters, followed by metabolism by fructokinase (ketohexokinase, KHK) (Fig. 1). Fructokinase may exist in two isoforms, of which KHK-C appears to be the principal isoform involved in fructose metabolism (43). The dominant sites of KHK-C expression include the liver, the intestinal epithelium, the proximal tubule of the kidney, the adipocyte, and possibly the vascular endothelium (43–45). Fructose may also be metabolized by hexokinase (glucokinase); however, the Km for fructose is much higher than glucose, and hence minimal amounts of fructose are metabolized via this pathway (38).

Fructose differs from glucose primarily due to its different transporters and the first three enzymes involved in its metabolism (Fig. 1). A key enzyme is fructokinase, which uses ATP to phosphorylate fructose to fructose-1-phosphate. Unlike enzymes involved in glucose metabolism (glucokinase and phosphofructokinase), in which downstream metabolites prevent excessive phosphorylation, fructokinase is poorly regulated and will phosphorylate all fructose rapidly with the depletion of ATP (46). The administration of fructose rapidly depletes ATP in human liver (47,48). Similarly, concentrations of fructose as low as 1.0 mM (similar to that observed postprandially in plasma after a fructose-enriched meal) can significantly reduce ATP levels in vascular endo-
thelial cells (45) and human proximal tubular cells (44). The effect of fructose to cause ATP depletion acts like a type of ischemia and can cause transient arrest of protein synthesis (46, 47) and the production of inflammatory proteins, endothelial dysfunction, and oxidative stress (44, 45).

Fructose is also highly lipogenic, stimulates triglyceride synthesis, and increases fat deposition in the liver, likely mediated in part by increasing fatty acyl coenzyme A and diacylglycerol (49). Splanchnic perfusion studies have shown that hepatic production of triglycerides is much greater with fructose compared with equimolar concentrations of glucose (50). Fructose administration results in greater postprandial hypertriglyceridemia than that observed with isocaloric glucose, and it can also result in higher apolipoprotein B levels (49, 51). Although initially the rise in uric acid is transient, studies in which high fructose (or sucrose) diets have been administered have found that even fasting uric acid levels will increase after several weeks (59, 60). Choi et al. (61, 62) have reported a dose-dependent relationship between fructose ingestion and serum uric acid levels in both men and women, although in another study this relationship could not be confirmed in women (63).

Another distinct characteristic of fructose is that it has a positive feedback system in which fructose up-regulates its transporter (Glut 5) as well as fructokinase. Experimentally, fructose administration has been shown to up-regulate Glut 5 and fructokinase in the rat intestine, liver, and kidney (64, 65). Subjects administered a high fructose diet show an enhanced rise in uric acid in response to a standard fructose load (58). We have reported that subjects with metabolic
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syndrome and fatty liver have a history of significantly greater fructose intake and have higher levels of fructokinase mRNA in their liver biopsies compared with control subjects with other types of liver disease (53). Because fructose intake appears to be higher in obese subjects (53, 66), this could account for the greater serum triglyceride response observed in these subjects after a fructose load (51, 67–69).

Finally, fructose is quite distinct from glucose because it does not signal insulin release. Moreover, fructose can actually lower plasma glucose acutely due to stimulation of hepatic glucose uptake due to a stimulation of hexokinase (70–72). This has led to the concept that catalytic amounts of fructose may be beneficial in the diabetic. However, as discussed in Section X, the other short-term and long-term effects of fructose have led societies such as the American Diabetes Association not to recommend fructose supplementation for the diabetic subject (73).

As will be seen in Section III, it is the lipogenic characteristics of fructose, in association with its ability to induce ATP depletion and uric acid generation, that are largely responsible for its ability to induce metabolic syndrome. Furthermore, it is fructose’s unfortunate ability to induce increasing sensitivity with increasing exposure (58) that makes it increasingly relevant in a society that is rapidly increasing its total fructose intake.

### III. Fructose Causes Metabolic Syndrome in Animals

Beginning with studies in the 1950s, it was recognized that diets high in sucrose can rapidly induce features of metabolic syndrome in rats, including hyperglycemia, insulin resistance, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, weight gain, and hyperuricemia (74–76). Further studies documented that these metabolic changes were due to the fructose content (77).

Indeed, if rats are pair-fed equivalent amounts of fructose or glucose so that total energy intake is the same and body weight change is equivalent, only the fructose-fed rats develop features of metabolic syndrome (hypertriglyceridemia, hyperuricemia, and hyperinsulinemia) (37, 78).

In addition to the ability of fructose to cause hypertriglyceridemia (37), low HDL cholesterol (79), weight gain (80–82), blood pressure elevation (83–85), and impaired glucose tolerance (37, 78), the administration of fructose to rats can result in other findings associated with the metabolic syndrome, including endothelial dysfunction (78, 86), oxidative stress (87), sympathetic nervous system activation (85, 88), activation of the renin angiotensin system (89), systemic inflammation (45), fatty liver (52), increased intraabdominal fat accumulation (90), leptin resistance (82, 91), proteinuria (78), renal hypertrophy (84), glomerular hypertension (84), and renal microvascular disease (83, 84). Metabolic syndrome is also recognized as a risk factor for chronic kidney disease (92); fructose feeding also accelerates chronic kidney disease in rats compared with dextrose-fed rats administered identical caloric intake (93).

Despite the relatively consistent ability of fructose to induce hypertriglyceridemia, weight gain is often variable in studies using rats (83, 91). Recent studies from our group may provide insights into this mechanism. In this study, rats were fed fructose or starch-based diets for 6 months. Despite the fact that there was no difference in weight gain between groups, the fructose-fed rats developed leptin resistance that was not observed in starch-fed rats. When the leptin-resistant rats were placed on a classic Western, high-fat, and high-sugar diet, the rats gained weight much more rapidly than their starch-fed littermates (91). This suggests an interaction between fructose and high-fat diet in the ability to induce obesity. A similar interaction has been shown in the ability of a high-salt diet to increase blood pressure in fructose-fed rats (94).

There is also evidence that sucrose, and possibly fructose, may have neuropsychiatric effects. Sugar may be addicting, similar to many commonly addictive drugs (95, 96). Rats exposed to sugar demonstrate sugar bingeing and craving, with dopamine and opioid receptor binding, enkephalin mRNA expression, and dopamine and acetylcholine release in the nucleus accumbens (95, 96). Similarly, humans exposed to cake or ice cream show enhanced activation of certain areas in the brain by positron emission tomography scanning, possibly due to alteration in dopaminergic activity (97).

A summary of the effects of fructose on the various organ systems is shown in Fig. 2.

### IV. Mechanism(s) for Fructose-Induced Insulin Resistance (Fig. 3)

Fructose may induce insulin resistance in part by classic obesity-associated mechanisms. For example, hepatic triglyceride accumulation may result in protein kinase C activation and hepatic insulin resistance due to increased uptake of free fatty acids (49). Elevation of very-low-density lipoprotein and systemic free fatty acids also results in increased lipid uptake in skeletal muscle and other peripheral organs that can mediate systemic insulin resistance (49). Leptin resistance, which is induced by fructose but not starch-based diets (91), has also been shown to mediate insulin resistance in other settings (10).

Moreover, there is evidence that fructose-induced insulin resistance is mediated by fructose-induced hyperuricemia (37, 78, 83). Lowering uric acid using either xanthine oxidase inhibitors or uricosuric agents can prevent the development of metabolic syndrome induced by fructose (37, 78, 83). At least two mechanisms may account for these findings. First, it is known that insulin-mediated endothelial nitric oxide (NO) release can account for one third of insulin’s action possibly by increasing blood flow to skeletal muscle and peripheral tissues and enhancing glucose uptake (98). Mice incapable of generating endothelial NO develop full features of metabolic syndrome (99). Uric acid inhibits endothelial NO in cell culture (100–102) and in the animal (100), and the mechanisms involve uric acid-induced oxidant production (103, 104), C-reactive protein production (101), stimulation of arginase (105), and direct scavenging (106). Asymptomatic hyperuricemia in humans is also associated with endothelial dysfunction (107), and lowering uric acid with allopurinol improves endothelial function in diabetics (108). The second proposed mechanism is by a direct effect of uric acid on the
adipocyte. There is evidence that insulin resistance is mediated in part by inflammation and oxidative stress within the adipocyte (109). Sautin et al. (104) have recently shown that uric acid induces this phenotype in cultured adipocytes. In addition, Cheung et al. (110) reported that xanthine oxidoreductase knockout mice fail to become fat due to a defect in adipogenesis. These studies therefore implicate xanthine oxidase and uric acid in metabolic syndrome.

The observation that fructose-induced insulin resistance can occur independent of weight gain or differences in energy intake (37, 78) does not negate the possibility that the insulin resistance could still be mediated by the adipocyte. We have found, for example, that fructose-glucose or sucrose combinations result in increased intraabdominal fat accumulation compared with starch-fed rats given equivalent energy intake and with similar weight gain (R.J. Johnson, C. Roncal, Y.Y. Sautin, T. Nakagawa, L.G. Sánchez-Lozada, unpublished observations). Finally, it is likely that insulin resistance will continue to manifest once an animal develops extensive fat stores via classical mechanisms (10), so that continued insulin resistance might be expected even if fructose intake was reduced. Hence, fructose induced insulin resistance might be considered an initiator of the insulin resistance syndrome, with obesity-based mechanisms perpetuating the condition.

V. Mechanism(s) by Which Fructose Induces Other Features of the Metabolic Syndrome: Role of Uric Acid

There is increasing evidence that intracellular ATP depletion and uric acid generation may have important roles in the ability of fructose to induce features of the metabolic syndrome. As mentioned, lowering uric acid was found to ameliorate a number of features of metabolic syndrome in fructose-fed rats, including hypertension, hypertriglyceridemia, hyperinsulinemia, insulin resistance, renal vasoconstriction, glomerular hypertension, and renal microvascular disease (37, 78, 83). Allopurinol can also reduce fructose-induced monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) production in human proximal tubular cells (44).

The finding that uric acid might have a role in metabolic syndrome is surprising because uric acid is considered one of the major antioxidants in the circulation (111). Furthermore, whereas uric acid is commonly elevated in subjects with metabolic syndrome (112), it has been thought to be elevated secondary to the hyperinsulinemia (113) that occurs in these subjects.

Nevertheless, the evidence that uric acid may be a true mediator of cardiovascular disease is mounting. Uric acid, whereas an antioxidant in the extracellular environment, can induce oxidative stress in a variety of cells including vascular smooth muscle cells and murine adipocytes (103, 104, 114). The mechanism appears to involve stimulation of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidase (104). Uric acid also reduces NO bioavailability in endothelial cells, adipocytes, and vascular smooth muscle cells (100, 102–104), and the mechanism is mediated by oxidative stress (103, 104, 114), the stimulation of arginase (115), and the direct scavenging of NO by uric acid (106). Uric acid also stimulates vascular smooth muscle cells by entering cells via a specific organic anion transport pathway with the stimulation of mitogen-activated kinases (p38 and ERK) and nuclear tran-
Fructose enters cells via a transporter (primarily Glut 5) where it is acted on by fructokinase (KHK). As part of this metabolism, ATP depletion may occur, generating uric acid with systemic effects that block insulin-dependent NO-mediated vascular dilation as well as direct cellular effects on the adipocyte. Fructose also causes de novo lipogenesis that can lead to intracellular triglycerides that can also induce insulin resistance. DAG, Diacylglycerol; PKC, protein kinase C; VLDL, very low-density lipoprotein.

The mechanism by which uric acid could raise blood pressure has been studied in the rat. Rats normally have a low uric acid due to the presence of uricase, an enzyme in the liver that degrades uric acid to allantoin. In contrast, humans have no functional uricase due to a mutation that occurred in the Miocene epoch (124). To study the effects of hyperuricemia, it was necessary to provide a uricase inhibitor (oxonic acid) in the diet. When uric acid levels were raised, the laboratory animals developed the clinical, histological, and hemodynamic characteristics of essential hypertension (125, 126). The hypertension was shown to be initially mediated by oxidative stress, activation of the renin angiotensin system, and endothelial dysfunction, and it could be reversed by treating with antioxidants, l-arginine, or inhibitors of the renin angiotensin system (116, 119, 127, 128). However, as renal microvascular disease develops, the blood pressure switches from being uric acid-dependent to one that is salt-sensitive and kidney dependent (119), similar to many other models of salt-sensitive hypertension (129).
Experimentally raising uric acid in rats has also been shown to induce mild renal injury as well as accelerate established renal disease (118, 125, 130, 131). The mechanism appears to be due to uric acid-dependent renal vasoconstriction and glomerular hypertension (132, 133). Consistent with this observation are increasing clinical studies identifying uric acid as a risk factor for renal progression, including in diabetic nephropathy (134–136), and intervention trials showing that lowering uric acid may be renoprotective (137–139).

Interestingly, the observation that uric acid mediates many of the effects of fructose helps explain why high concentrations of fructose are often required to induce metabolic syndrome in the rat. Because the rat has uricase, fructose does not increase uric acid levels very effectively. Indeed, if uricase is inhibited, a rat will show a greater than 10-fold increase in uric acid in response to a fructose load (140). Although diets of 35 to 60% of fructose are required to induce hyperinsulinemia at 3 months, the administration of 20% fructose will result in hyperinsulinemia and hypertension for the same period if uricase is inhibited (141). Another reason why the rat may be relatively resistant to fructose is because the rat makes ascorbate, and ascorbate can block the effects of fructose both in vitro and in vivo (104, 114, 142). Another reason for the relative resistance could relate to the fact that fructose is usually administered without glucose. However, glucose can markedly increase fructose absorption (143). We have recently found that lower doses of fructose (30%) readily induce metabolic syndrome if glucose is present in equimolar concentrations (R.J. Johnson, C. Roncal, Y.Y. Sautin, T. Nakagawa, L.G. Sánchez-Lozada, unpublished observations). Finally, duration of fructose exposure may also be important. For example, concentrations of fructose as low as 15% can induce impaired glucose tolerance in rats if administered for longer than 1 yr (144).

VI. Human Studies with Fructose

Numerous studies have also examined the short-term effects of sucrose or fructose in humans (reviewed in Refs. 36, 145, and 146). Although marked variability has been reported, in most cases the results are predictable based on the mechanisms we have already elucidated. For example, very high doses of fructose (250 g/d × 7 d) cause insulin resistance in 1 wk (147), whereas slightly lower doses (216 g/d for 4 wk) only induce insulin resistance at sites where fructokinase is highly expressed (liver and adipocyte) (148), and even lower doses (100 g/d × 4 wk) result in no insulin resistance at all (149). In subjects with underlying insulin resistance or obesity, the ability of fructose to induce insulin resistance can be shown with diets as low as 15% fructose (67) or 25% fructose (150). Increased sensitivity to fructose in this latter population would be predicted because underlying endothelial function would be worse compared with healthy subjects and because this group might be expected to have a prior history of fructose exposure and hence higher levels of Glut 5 and fructokinase.

Similarly, most studies show that fructose administered as 17 to 20% of the diet (about 60–70 g of fructose daily) for up to 4 wk raises plasma triglycerides in men (60, 151). In one study of young healthy men (with presumed good endothelial function), the increase in triglycerides was only shown postprandially (152). Young healthy women are more resistant to fructose-induced hypertriglyceridemia, whereas obese or hyperinsulinemic women (51, 69) or men (68) are much more sensitive. Sucrose can also lower HDL cholesterol in young men (153).

Most short-term studies have failed to show an effect on blood pressure, with the exception of two studies using sucrose (59, 154). However, one recent study showed that the effect of acute fructose loading to raise blood pressure is best observed within 1 h of ingestion (155), which is similar to when uric acid increases in the circulation. Interestingly, the administration of an identical dose of glucose had no effect on blood pressure.

The effect of acute fructose administration on weight gain has been more difficult to show. However, three studies have reported that overweight or hyperinsulinemic subjects administered sucrose-based diets have a final weight greater than controls (59, 154, 156), and the effects on weight gain are greater in subjects who were formally obese compared with those who were always lean (156). Likewise, in a recent preliminary study, Stanhope et al. (150) demonstrated that a diet of 25% fructose resulted in increased intraabdominal fat accumulation (documented by computed tomography scan) in obese women compared with similar women administered a 25% glucose-based diet.

One mechanism by which fructose may cause weight gain could be that fructose does not acutely stimulate insulin. Glucose, for example, acutely stimulates insulin release, which causes a downstream stimulation of leptin and an inhibition of ghrelin, all of which signal the satiety centers in the brain with the sensation of fullness. In contrast, fructose does not acutely stimulate insulin and, as a consequence, does not result in as significant a rise in postprandial leptin levels (157). In one study, subjects fed fructose complained of being more hungry and ate more calories the following day compared with a group fed starch (157).

In addition to the acute effects of fructose, it is possible that there could be chronic effects such as those observed in the rat in which leptin resistance develops (91). Because long-term clinical studies with fructose-based diets have not been performed, it is unknown whether this mechanism is operative in humans. However, serum leptin levels were higher in humans given a high fructose diet for 4 wk compared with those placed on a starch-based diet (149).

Finally, there is evidence that administration of 25% fructose-based, but not glucose-based, diets results in a significant increase in circulating soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1 levels (150), consistent with findings in rats administered a physiologically relevant (20%) fructose diet (45). Sugar or HFCS-containing soft drinks have also been recently associated with an increased risk for renal disease as manifested by albuminuria (158).

Although the evidence is not as compelling as in the rat, one must remember that these are short-term studies. Nevertheless, it is clear that fructose can induce features of the metabolic syndrome in humans. As will be discussed, epidemiological studies suggest that the effects of sugar or fruc-
VII. Epidemiological Studies: Sugar Intake and Type 2 Diabetes

Sugar intake was minimal in the general population before 1800 (27). However, with the expansion of the sugar plantations in North and South America, and with the rise in the sugar beet industry in Europe, a marked increase in sugar consumption can be observed in Europe and the United States beginning in the early 1800s (27). Between 1700 and 1800, yearly per capita sugar intake in England increased from 4 pounds (1.8 kg) to 18 pounds (8.1 kg); by 1900, this had increased to almost 90 pounds (40 kg). Although sugar was originally afforded only by the wealthy, the marked increase in production, coupled with a reduction in taxes, resulted in sugar becoming available for the general population (27). Furthermore, sugar became one of the best staples of the Westerner for trade when visiting developing countries.

Interestingly, reports of diabetes occurring in the wealthy and obese began to occur in Europe (primarily from England, France, and Germany) during this time (1, 2, 159). By the early 1900s, a remarkable increase in diabetes was already being noted in the United States and elsewhere (4, 5). An important study was reported by Haven Emerson, director of the Institute of Public Health at Columbia University. Emerson used death rates from diabetes (determined by death certificates) as a surrogate for the prevalence of diabetes in the general population. Emerson noted that death rates from diabetes per 100,000 population had increased markedly between 1880 and 1920 in New York, rising from 2.8 to 18.9 cases (4). The population at greatest risk were those who were Caucasian, wealthy, sedentary, and over the age of 45; men and postmenopausal women were the most susceptible (4). Merchants, particularly in the food industry, were particularly at risk, whereas laborers, miners, and African-Americans had decreased risk (4).

Emerson and Larimore (4) also noted a remarkable association of diabetes with sugar consumption. Although the intake of meat per capita had fallen during the previous 15 yr, the intake of sugar in the population had increased markedly. Indeed, Emerson and Larimore noted that sugar intake corresponded to rates of diabetes in other countries as well; for example, they noted that diabetes rates in Australia were significantly lower, and this population consumed much less sugar and 30% more meat (4).

Other investigators also noted a remarkable association of sugar intake with diabetes rates. For example, Concepcion (29) noted that diabetes was rare in the Philippines, except in Manila where it correlated with sugar consumption. Charles (5) and Chakravarti (160) noted that diabetes was becoming increasingly common among the wealthy Hindu in the Lower Bengal who had an excessive intake of sugar. Similar associations of diabetes with sugar intake among the wealthy were noted in Egypt (161), whereas among the rich Chinese the development of diabetes correlated with the “adaptation of European food, especially drinking sweet wines” (162).

As mentioned, sugar also became one of the key staples for trade with indigenous peoples. For example, the Pima Indians were originally described as a healthy and athletic tribe that lived in the Gila River Basin in Arizona. However, in the early 1800s a major wagon route to California was opened directly through Pima lands. With the advent of the California Gold Rush, thousands of settlers traveled to California, often stopping at the Pima wagon post for supplies. According to Hrdlička (163), a favorite trade item for the Pima was sugar. Interestingly, by 1900 both obesity and diabetes were emerging although the population was very poor.

The introduction of a Western diet, including sugar, was also associated with a remarkable transformation of the Maori (164–167), the Australian aborigine (168), and other Native American Indian tribes (169–172) from a healthy people devoid of cardiovascular disease to a population with excessive rates of diabetes, obesity, and heart disease. Sugar intake remains high in these populations (173, 174). Likewise, diabetes was also once rare among peoples living in China, Japan, India, the Phillipines, Polynesia, Sudan, and West Africa (175); however, with the introduction of Western culture, there has been a remarkable transformation (6).

Similarly, diabetes began to increase in Iceland in association with a rise in carbohydrate intake, especially sugar (176). Today obesity and diabetes are increasing worldwide and are especially common in certain populations such as Pacific Islanders (177). Furthermore, whereas it has been known that vegetarians are often protected from cardiovascular disease and hypertension (178), this does not appear to be true for vegetarians who eat increased amounts of sugar. For example, in one study vegetarian Indians living in South Africa who developed diabetes ate more sugar than non-vegetarian subjects (179). Fernando (180) also reported that diabetes was increasing in Ceylon among the rich and educated, many of whom were eating vegetarian, high carbohydrate-containing foods. Unfortunately, Fernando did not note what percentage of the high-carbohydrate foods were from sugar.

There is also a relationship of sugar intake with the increased risk for diabetes observed in the African-American and Hispanic populations in the United States. As discussed above, diabetes was initially rare among the black African (30, 181) and the African-American (4). However, L. I. Dublin, the head statistician of the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company and one of the early leaders in epidemiology, noted that diabetes was already becoming common by the 1920s among African-Americans in some urban cities in the South (4). Similarly, in the early 1900s, hypertension began to manifest among the African-American, especially in the southeastern United States and the Caribbean (182, 183). In the early 1960s, diabetes in Jamaica was still less common than that observed in the United States and Europe, but it was already higher than that observed in the black African (184). By the 1970s, the risk for diabetes among African Americans had surpassed that of the Caucasian. One potential explanation could be the fact that African-Americans and Hispanics ingest greater quantities of sugar than Caucasians (185, 186).

In South Africa, Campbell (30) noted an emerging epidemic of diabetes among the Natal Indians working in the
“Sugar Belt.” Unlike their relatives in India, who were eating only about 12 pounds (5.4 kg) of sugar per capita per year, the average Natal Indian was ingesting 77 pounds (35 kg) (30). The Zulu appeared to be more resistant, but the most wealthy, who ate nearly 10-fold more sugar than the rural Zulu, were also at risk (30).

Studies have also linked increasing diabetes rates with immigration of peoples into countries where sugar intake is high. For example, one study reported that Yemenite Jews that had settled in Israel had remarkably higher rates of diabetes than Yemenites living in Yemen. Interestingly, the only dietary difference was the almost complete absence of sugar in the diet in Yemen, whereas sugar accounted for 20% of the total carbohydrate intake for Yemenites in Israel (187). Furthermore, increased rates of diabetes have been observed in Japanese and Filipinos after immigration to the United States and Canada (188, 189).

The introduction of HFCS in the 1970s has resulted in a 30% increase in total fructose intake in the last 20 yr, and this is also associated with remarkable increases in the rates of obesity and diabetes (27, 36, 190). Soft drinks, which contain either HFCS or sugar and represent a major dietary source of fructose, have been associated with the development of obesity (66, 190, 191) as well as impaired glucose tolerance or diabetes (66, 192–195). Fruit drinks are also associated with the development of diabetes, whereas ingestion of whole fruits is not (195, 196). In some studies, the effect of fructose intake to predict diabetes has been shown to be independent of body mass index or energy intake (66, 191, 195, 196). Soft drinks also increase the risk for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (53). Figure 4 shows the remarkable association of sugar intake with diabetes over the last two centuries.

VIII. Epidemiological Studies: Uric Acid and Type 2 Diabetes

Given the evidence that uric acid may be involved in fructose-induced metabolic syndrome, it is important to evaluate the relationship of uric acid to metabolic syndrome and diabetes. Uric acid levels are known to be elevated in subjects with metabolic syndrome (13, 112, 197), and the reverse is also true—that subjects with hyperuricemia frequently have metabolic syndrome (198–202). Gout, which is a manifestation of hyperuricemia, has also been associated with the development of diabetes. Although the association of gout with diabetes is observed in the general population (200), it is especially common in particular groups, notably the Maori, the Australian aborigine, Polynesians, the Natal Indians of South Africa, and the African-American (30, 166, 168, 203–206).

Interestingly, once a patient is diabetic, uric acid levels are often normal (207). One reason is due to glycosuria, which inhibits uric acid absorption in the proximal tubule. However, diabetic subjects who continue to be hyperuricemic appear to be at increased risk for developing diabetic complications, especially renal disease (136, 208).

Many authorities had described the elevation in uric acid in metabolic syndrome as a secondary phenomenon because insulin increases uric acid absorption in the proximal tubule (113, 209). However, two studies have reported that an elevated uric acid level predicts the development of metabolic syndrome per se (210, 211), and in the former study this was demonstrated even in subjects who were free of all features of metabolic syndrome at baseline (210). An elevated uric acid level also predicts the development of hyperinsulinemia (212–214), obesity (215), fatty liver disease (216), and diabetes in most (214, 217–230) but not all (231) studies (Table 1). Furthermore, in many of these studies uric acid remained an independent predictor of diabetes after controlling for baseline body mass index (210, 218–223). These studies suggest that uric acid cannot simply be viewed as a secondary phenomenon.

IX. Do Other Conditions That Modify Uric Acid Levels Affect the Development of Metabolic Syndrome or Diabetes?

If uric acid has a role in the development of diabetes, then other mechanisms raising uric acid might also have a role in the etiology of type 2 diabetes. A list of some of the common causes of hyperuricemia is shown in Table 2. For example, red meats are high in purines and can raise uric acid levels and increase the risk for gout (232); some studies also suggest that diets high in meats increase the risk for diabetes (233–238). Although all alcohol can raise uric acid levels, beer is the greatest offender due to the presence of brewer’s yeast that contains high levels of guanosine (239, 240). Excessive intake of beer can also cause abdominal obesity (“beer belly”) with features similar to metabolic syndrome (241–243); excessive alcohol intake also has been reported to increase the risk of diabetes in some studies (244–246). Indeed, there are historical reports of an increased risk for diabetes among Trappist Monks who drank Trappist beer, a type of unfiltered beer with high residual sugar and yeast content (28).

Certain foods are also known to reduce uric acid levels and/or decrease the risk for gout, including dairy products and caffeine (247); both have also been reported to decrease the risk for metabolic syndrome and diabetes (248–250). There is also evidence that vitamin C (ascorbate) can counter the
TABLE 1. Elevated uric acid as a predictor for the development of type 2 diabetes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (Ref.)</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Independent</th>
<th>Relative risk (CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Korea (211)</td>
<td>4,779 men</td>
<td>3 yr</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.41 (1.08–1.84), 5th vs. 1st quintile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dallas (210)</td>
<td>9,689 adults</td>
<td>5.7 yr</td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.6 (1.34–2.91) in men and 2.29 (1.0–5.27) in women, 3rd vs. 1st tercile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIC (213)</td>
<td>9,020 adults</td>
<td>11 yr</td>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.3 (1.2–1.4) per 1.4 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spokane (212)</td>
<td>60 adults with MI</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5.47 (1.6–17.7) for &gt;5.5 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotterdam (220)</td>
<td>4,536 adults</td>
<td>10.1 yr</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.68 (1.22–1.30), 3rd vs. 1st tercile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framingham (224)</td>
<td>5,209 adults</td>
<td>26 yr</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>2.1 (&gt;6.2 mg/dl in men) and 2.1 (&gt;5.1 mg/dl in women)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osaka (219)</td>
<td>2,310 adult men</td>
<td>6 yr</td>
<td>FFG/diabetes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.78 (1.11–2.85), 5th vs. 1st quintile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osaka (231)</td>
<td>6,356 men</td>
<td>9 yr</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1.24 (0.9–1.7), 5th vs. 2nd quintile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritius (217)</td>
<td>2,605 adults</td>
<td>5 yr</td>
<td>FFG/diabetes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.37 (1.20–1.57) per 1 SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Britain (221)</td>
<td>7,735 men</td>
<td>12.8 yr</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.5 (0.9–2.5), 5th vs. 1st quintile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden (222)</td>
<td>766 men</td>
<td>13.5 yr</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5.8 (2.2–16.0), 5th vs. 1st quintile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinmen (218)</td>
<td>641 hyperuricemic adults</td>
<td>7 yr</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>1.44 (1.13–2.25) per mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland (214)</td>
<td>522 adults with IGTT</td>
<td>4.1 yr</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>1.87 (1.07–3.26), 3rd vs. 1st tertile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritius (223)</td>
<td>4,259 Mauritian and creole</td>
<td>5 yr</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>1.14 (1.01–1.3) and 1.37 (1.11–1.68) in Mauritian and Creole men per SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nauru (228)</td>
<td>266 adults</td>
<td>6 yr</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>Not given</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel (225–227)</td>
<td>10,000 men</td>
<td>5 yr</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.35 (CI not given) per mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China (229)</td>
<td>2,609 adults</td>
<td>9 yr</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.4 (1.02–1.92), 5th vs. 1st quintile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States (230)</td>
<td>11,351 men</td>
<td>6 yr</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1.70 (1.38–2.11), 5th vs. 1st quintile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MS, Metabolic syndrome; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; † Insulin, hyperinsulinemia; MI, myocardial infarction; IGTT, impaired glucose tolerance test; CI, confidence interval.

TABLE 2. Conditions associated with high uric acid levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender/age</th>
<th>Race</th>
<th>Cardiovascular condition</th>
<th>Kidney</th>
<th>Pregnancy</th>
<th>Diet</th>
<th>Habits</th>
<th>Toxins</th>
<th>Drugs</th>
<th>Catabolic states</th>
<th>Ketosis</th>
<th>Lactate</th>
<th>Hereditary</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult men</td>
<td>African-American, Polynesian, Maori</td>
<td>Hypertension, metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease, obesity, insulin resistance, nonalcoholic fatty liver, obstructive sleep apnea</td>
<td>Renal failure</td>
<td>Preeclampsia</td>
<td>High purine meats, fructose, high-fat diets, beer</td>
<td>Acute exercise</td>
<td>Lead (low dose)</td>
<td>Calcineurin inhibitors, diuretics, pyrazinamide, ethambutol, low-dose aspirin, niacin, some HIV medications</td>
<td>Leukemia, tumor lysis, polyethylene vera, postbariatric surgery, starvation, psoriasis</td>
<td>Diabetic ketoacidosis, starvation, high-fat diet</td>
<td>Congestive heart failure, alcohol, Gaucher’s disease</td>
<td>Lesch Nyhan syndrome, increased phosphosubpynoroposphate synthetase, familial juvenile hyperuricemic nephropathy</td>
<td>Down’s syndrome, hyperparathyroidism, hypothyroidism, sarcoidosis, emotional or physical stress, marathon running, high altitude</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effects of fructose to cause metabolic syndrome. Vitamin C blocks the actions of uric acid on various cell types (251), vitamin C levels correlate with lower uric acid levels (252), and vitamin C supplementation also can lower uric acid levels by increasing urate excretion (253). Interestingly, low plasma ascorbate levels may also increase the risk for gestational diabetes (254), low intake of vitamin C was found to increase the risk for type 2 diabetes in one study (255), and vitamin C supplementation can improve features of metabolic syndrome (hyperglycemia, insulin resistance, and hypertriglyceridemia), and patients receiving thiazides are at increased risk for developing diabetes (257, 258). We have reported that the thiazides can exacerbate fructose-induced metabolic syndrome in the rat and that these features can be reversed, and blood pressure further improved, by pharmacologically lowering serum uric acid (78).

Chronic lead intoxication is also a well-recognized cause of hyperuricemia, hypertension, and renal disease (259, 260). Chronic lead ingestion is also associated with a higher body mass index and elevated fasting blood glucose levels (261). It is interesting that Emerson and Larimore (4) wrote that diabetes was nearly absent in miners with the exception of lead miners. We have found that experimentally induced hypertension in chronic lead-treated rats can be reversed by lowering uric acid levels (C. Roncal, unpublished observations). An elevated uric acid level and features of metabolic syndrome or diabetes often complicate calcineurin use in transplantation and in complicated pregnancy; and uric acid may have a role in calcineurin toxicity (262) and preeclampsia (263–265). Excessive intake of fructose during pregnancy is also associated with increased risk for preeclampsia and carries greater risk than obesity itself (266). Finally, high-fat diets can also increase uric acid levels (267), but we believe fructose may be more potent, in part because of its unique effect to up-regulate its own metabolic enzymes that drive the process (53). Indeed, high fat intake has not been associated with increased risk for diabetes when total energy intake was controlled (268). Nevertheless, it raises the interesting possibility that there could be a synergistic effect of sugar with a high-fat diet to cause the metabolic syndrome.
X. Twelve Countering Arguments and Caveats

The hypothesis that fructose (or sugar) may be an etiological agent causing type 2 diabetes has been refuted in the past due to a variety of arguments. We summarize 12 reasons the fructose hypothesis could be challenged and provide potential explanations.

1. How can one separate the role of obesity in causing diabetes from fructose per se? Many authorities consider obesity as the most important risk factor for diabetes, and it is known that insulin resistance can result from intraabdominal fat accumulation (10). As discussed in Section IV, there is evidence that fructose may cause insulin resistance via both obesity-based and nonobesity-based mechanisms. In experimental studies, fructose has been shown to induce insulin resistance by raising uric acid levels independent of energy intake or changes in body weight (37, 78). An elevated uric acid can also predict the development of diabetes independent of baseline body mass index (210, 218–223). Diabetes can also occur in the absence of frank obesity, especially in Asia (11, 12). Although these studies suggest that fructose may act independently of obesity, it is clear that the two will be closely intertwined, especially because fructose ingestion may cause leptin resistance (91) and intraabdominal fat accumulation (49, 90). Teasing out the differences may require carefully designed clinical trials (see Section XII).

2. If fructose is bad, then why do some investigators recommend it for diabetics? Fructose has been reported to be good for diabetics because fructose does not stimulate insulin secretion from the pancreas and, in fact, may acutely reduce blood sugar by increasing hepatic uptake due to stimulation of hepatic glucokinase (70–72). However, this ignores the long-term consequence of fructose to cause insulin resistance (146). In addition, fructose is a greater stimulant of advanced glycation end-products than glucose (269), and these products are not detected by most routine assays (270). Experimental studies document the fact that fructose can cause cataracts in diabetic animals (271). Finally, the fact that fructose increases triglycerides has led the American Diabetic Association not to recommend fructose as a food supplement for diabetic subjects (272).

3. Why are sugar cane workers, who ingest large amounts of sugar, not at risk for diabetes? Observations that sugar cane workers in Panama and South Africa, who were exposed to large amounts of sugar by chewing on sugarcane, did not develop diabetes in contrast to the owners of the plantations who ate refined sugar has been used either to refute sugar as the cause (273) or to suggest that it is only the refined sugar that carries risk (31). A more likely explanation may be that it is not simply the quantity of fructose ingested that matters, but also the rate of ingestion. The effect of fructose to deplete ATP and generate uric acid is contingent on the concentration of fructose to which the cells are exposed (274). Chewing sugar cane may result in prolonged exposure to low concentrations but may not be equivalent to bolus exposure such as provided in soft drinks or rich desserts. In addition, working in the cane fields was likely associated with physical exertion and possibly less food availability that could counter the negative effects of excessive fructose intake; indeed regular exercise is known to lower uric acid levels (275).

4. If sugar causes diabetes, then why is diabetes low in some countries with high sugar intake? Mills (175) reported in 1930 that of the 13 countries with the highest sugar intake, 11 were among the 13 with the highest rate of diabetes. Nonetheless, both Mills (175) and Joslin et al. (276) suggested that sugar was unlikely the cause of diabetes because there were some countries with high sugar intake where diabetes rates were low, most significantly Hawaii, Argentina, and Cuba. According to the U.S. Bureau of Census, the prevalence of diabetes in the United States in 1920 was 18.9 cases per 100,000 population (based on deaths) (4), whereas in Hawaii there were only 8.5 deaths per 100,000 in 1926 despite very high sugar consumption (54 kg per capita per year) (276). However, comparing diabetes death rates of Hawaii with the general U.S. population may not be appropriate given that Hawaii was primarily an agricultural community during the 1920s; indeed, for the same period, the rate of diabetes for U.S. agricultural workers was only 4 cases per 100,000 (4), suggesting that rates in Hawaii were indeed higher than expected.

5. The studies in rats showing that fructose can cause metabolic syndrome are not relevant because they use supraphysiological doses. A discussion of this issue is provided in Section V. Most studies administering fructose to rats have used diets in the 50 to 60% range; in contrast, the mean fructose content of the American diet is 9%, with the upper range approaching 15 to 20% (36, 146). There are two reasons for the use of high concentrations of fructose in rats. First, it is common for high doses of agents to be used to induce a syndrome rapidly. As mentioned, a 20-yr lag was noted by Campbell (241) between exposure to excessive sugar intake and manifesting diabetes (note that this may be shorter now that we are having 30 to 40% more exposure). If rats are placed on 15% fructose diets, they also develop insulin resistance, but it takes 15 months (144). Second, fructose-induced metabolic syndrome is mediated by fructose-induced hyperuricemia (37) and can be blocked by vitamin C (142). Because rats express uricase (which degrades uric acid) and also synthesize vitamin C, they are naturally resistant to the effects of fructose. Indeed, if uricase is inhibited, rats develop marked hyperuricemia in response to a fructose load (140) and also rapidly develop hyperinsulinemia in response to a diet containing only 20% fructose (141).

6. Fructose is unlikely a cause of hypertension because fructose does not raise blood pressure in rats by intraaortic telemetry. Studies in rats have shown that fructose does not increase blood pressure in conscious, unrestrained rats using intraaortic telemetry despite raising blood pressure when measured by other means (such as intraaerital measurements made during anesthesia or by extraneous catheter or by tail cuff) (277, 278). These studies suggest that the elevation of blood pressure observed with these latter methods may reflect a heightened response to stress (like white coat hypertension) as opposed to true hypertension. Indeed, mice fed fructose display higher blood pressures by telemetry when they are awake and feeding (85). Despite these results, studies in humans have documented that 60 g of fructose will acutely raise blood pressure, whereas this is not observed...
with glucose (155). Short-term intake of sugar has also been reported to increase blood pressure in some (59, 154, 279) but not all studies (280).

Fructose may also cause hypertension indirectly as a consequence of causing renal microvascular disease. Indeed, we have found that fructose causes afferent arteriolar disease in rats that is mediated by uric acid (84), and in other models we have shown that the induction of renal microvascular disease will lead to the development of salt-sensitive hypertension even if the initiating stimulus is removed (129). Indeed, hyperuricemic rats also develop a renal arteriopathy, and after its development the rats display salt-sensitive hypertension even if the uric acid levels return to control levels (119). Consistent with this finding, the administration of fructose and a high-salt diet to rats results in renal microvascular disease and hypertension that persists despite withdrawing the diet (94). Furthermore, high fructose intake is associated with high salt intake (281), raising the likelihood that this mechanism could be engaged in man.

7. What is the direct evidence that fructose causes obesity in people? As discussed in Section VI, epidemiological studies have linked high fructose-containing drinks with weight gain (66, 191, 282, 283) and the general increase in obesity in the United States correlates closely with the rise in overall fructose intake (27, 36, 190). Raben et al. (154) also reported in a randomized double-blind study that the administration of sucrose-containing soft drinks resulted in significantly greater weight gain than with diet soft drinks. Similarly, Tordoff and Alleva (284) also reported a trial in which increased weight gain was observed after 3 wk in subjects drinking soft drinks containing HFCS compared with soft drinks containing aspartame. Fructose supplementation also increased weight in diabetic subjects (285). Finally, there is strong evidence that fructose intake will increase intraabdominal fat accumulation compared with starch-based diets, especially in overweight women (49). In addition, there is evidence that programs that reduce soft drink consumption can result in weight loss (286) and possibly reduce insulin resistance (287).

These studies suggest that supplemental intake of fructose-containing foods or drinks may not result in a reduction in intake of other energy sources, consistent with the possibility that fructose may alter satiety as suggested by Teff et al. (157). However, Le et al. (149) reported that the supplementation of 1.5 g fructose/kg daily did not result in any weight gain after 1 month in young healthy men. This study and others have led to controversy over whether fructose causes weight gain more than other energy sources (288).

One potential explanation may relate to the rat studies that show that long-term (6 month) administration of a high-fructose diet is required for the development of leptin resistance (91). Leptin levels were high at the end of the study by Le et al. (149), so perhaps differences in weight would have been observed if the diet studies had extended for a longer period.

An additional issue revolves around the interpretation of epidemiological studies examining the relationship of sugar intake to obesity. Subjects who become obese may well reduce their sugar intake because sugar is widely recognized as a food that can cause weight gain. Hence, the relationship of sugar to obesity is best performed with longitudinal studies to determine whether sugar intake predicts obesity, rather than cross-sectional studies in which subjects who have already become obese are examined. Furthermore, some subjects will preferentially drink diet soft drinks as a “compensation” for being able to eat other high-calorie, sugar-sweetened foods. Hence, studies such as the paper by Dhingra et al. (192) that reported that both caloric- and diet soft drinks predict obesity and metabolic syndrome may be deceiving unless total fructose intake is considered.

8. If metabolic syndrome and diabetes are due to fructose-induced hyperuricemia, then urinary uric acid excretion should be high and not low as has been reported in subjects with metabolic syndrome (112). Fructose does acutely increase uric acid excretion, but as animals develop renal vasocostriction and hypertension, the fractional excretion of uric acid falls (37). This suggests a positive feedback system, similar to that observed with the renin angiotensin system in which renal artery vasoconstriction stimulates angiotensin II, which can feedback to cause more renal vasoconstriction.

9. If an elevated plasma uric acid is the cause of metabolic syndrome, then how do we explain reports that elevated uric acid can occur in the absence of an increase in metabolic syndrome, or that metabolic syndrome can occur in the absence of an elevation in uric acid? In 1966, Prior et al. (289) reported that natives living on the island of Pukapuka had a high frequency of hyperuricemia despite minimal evidence of diabetes, hypertension, or obesity, thereby challenging the concept that uric acid might be a cause of these conditions. However, as the authors noted in their paper, sugar had been recently introduced into this community (290). This raises the possibility that the natives were still in the “incubation period” before diabetes and hypertension would manifest (4, 30).

Nevertheless, there remain other examples where an elevated uric acid level has been observed with minimal evidence of hypertension or diabetes (291, 292). It remains possible that these individuals have other genetic polymorphisms (e.g., in endothelial NO synthase) or dietary habits [such as flavonoid-rich cocoa (293), phytic acid-rich legumes, or high vitamin C intake (251)] that may provide countering protection. Similarly, it is also highly likely that there are numerous mechanisms that may cause obesity and diabetes such that it would not be necessary to have an elevated uric acid in all settings.

10. It is not plasma uric acid that causes the metabolic syndrome, but rather xanthine oxidase-associated oxidants. Xanthine oxidase acts on xanthine to produce uric acid and oxidants. This raises the question that an elevated uric acid level may simply reflect the presence of xanthine oxidase-associated oxidants and that it is the latter that is mediating the effects on target tissues. Indeed, uric acid may function as an antioxidant (111, 294). Consistent with this hypothesis are recent reports that reduction of uric acid can improve endothelial function only if xanthine oxidase inhibitors are used and not if uric acid is lowered by other means (295, 296); furthermore, the infusion of uric acid into humans has been reported to improve endothelial function (297, 298), not the converse as suggested by the animal studies (100).
However, it is possible that most of the effects of uric acid are intracellular and that xanthine oxidase inhibitors are more effective at lowering intracellular uric acid concentrations. Studies in cell culture that have used exogenous uric acid have consistently shown no effect if uric acid entry into the cell is prevented (101, 103, 114). Although fructose raises systemic levels of uric acid, it is possible that some of its effects are mediated in the cells where uric acid is generated, such as the fructokinase-rich hepatocyte, adipocyte, and proximal tubular cell. Consistent with this possibility is the observation that allopurinol blocks fructose-induced MCP-1 in the human proximal tubular cell (44). However, this cannot account for all of the mechanisms by which fructose-induced hyperuricemia acts for uricosurics improve the metabolic syndrome in fructose-fed rats (37). In addition, two older studies found that increasing uric acid in rats by uricase inhibitors results in hyperglycemia, elevated blood pressure, hypertriglyceridemia, and fatty liver (299, 300). However, the author did not show that lowering uric acid was protective, so it is unclear whether these effects were actually due to the uric acid per se.

11. If fructose and uric acid cause the metabolic syndrome and diabetes, then why does lowering uric acid not cure diabetes or metabolic syndrome? It is increasingly recognized that often the mechanisms initiating a process may be distinct from those maintaining the process. For example, hypertension can often be precipitated by injecting a substance that causes renal vasoconstriction, but over time renal microvascular disease develops that perpetuates the hypertension even after the stimulus is removed (129, 301). Similarly, once sufficient kidney damage develops, progressive renal disease will occur, driven by the intrarenal hemodynamic changes that have resulted from the initial loss of renal function (302). A wealth of literature also suggests that insulin resistance can be perpetuated once obesity and intracellular lipid accumulation manifest, especially in sites other than adipose tissue (303, 304). Thus, reducing fructose or lowering uric acid might be expected to provide some benefits once insulin resistance and diabetes develop but may not be able to fully reverse these conditions.

12. Several genome-wide scans have identified genetic polymorphisms that correlate with increased risk for gout but do not show linkage with diabetes or hypertension, thereby challenging a causal relationship between uric acid and diabetes. Recently SLC2A9 has been identified as a transporter that transports fructose as well as uric acid (305, 306). Polymorphisms in SLC2A9 are associated with increased urinary uric acid excretion and lower serum uric acid levels (305, 306). Interestingly, whereas these polymorphisms appear to protect the individual from gout, there is no evidence that these polymorphisms are linked with diabetes (305, 306). This raises the question of whether the uric acid has a causal role in this disease or whether it may simply represent a secondary marker. However, an alternative explanation is that only 6% of the variation in serum uric acid can be accounted for by these polymorphisms, and hence the currently published studies are underpowered to identify this relationship. Furthermore, because it is the intracellular uric acid that mediates its metabolic effects (101, 114, 120, 307), we also need additional studies to determine how the SLC2A9 modulates intracellular uric acid levels in response to fructose.

XI. The Thrifty Gene Revisited

In 1962, James Neel suggested that humans acquired “thrifty genes” during the feast and famine days of hunting and gathering, which then predisposed them to obesity and diabetes in today’s society of overnutrition and physical inactivity (308). Diets of our early ancestors were extremely low in sodium and fructose content (309). We have proposed that an elevated uric acid and low vitamin C may be critically important in the “stress response” that is associated with starvation and may have a role in the ability of a starving animal to increase its foraging behavior and fat accumulation (54). We have further suggested that the mutational loss of vitamin C synthesis during the Eocene and of uricase during the mid Miocene provided a survival advantage during periods of environmental stress by virtue of their ability to induce insulin resistance, obesity, fatty liver, and elevated blood pressure (119, 251). By mildly increasing blood glucose levels, insulin resistance may protect against hypoglycemia and also preserve glucose for the brain, which does not require insulin for glucose uptake (311). Although we suggest that these mutations likely provided a survival advantage at that time, in today’s setting in which dietary purines, fructose, and salt are ingested at high levels, these mutations may predispose us to hypertension, obesity, and diabetes. Hence, we suggest that genes involving vitamin C, fructose, and uric acid metabolism represent the thrifty genes postulated by Neel.

XII. What Additional Studies Should be Done to Test the Hypothesis?

Sugar and high-fat foods currently make up about 40% of the diet in low-income and developing countries (312). Serum uric acid levels have also been rising in the Western world (313–315). Currently, recommendations for prevention of diabetes include physical exercise and weight reduction, often with an emphasis on low-fat diets (35). Sugar is often considered as a source of “empty calories” (316, 317) but is generally not appreciated for its potential hormonal effects.

It is our hope that future studies will address the fructose and uric acid hypotheses. Specifically, studies are needed to determine the effectiveness of a low-fructose diet vs. other diets such as DASH (Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension) or low-carbohydrate diets in the prevention or treatment of obesity and metabolic syndrome. The effectiveness of low-fructose diets in the prevention or treatment of hypertension, chronic kidney disease, and fatty liver should also be entertained. Lowering uric acid, with or without dietary intervention, should also be examined as a means to prevent or treat early hypertension, metabolic syndrome, obesity, and diabetes. Genetic studies are also needed to determine whether specific polymorphisms involved in fructose or uric acid metabolism may account for the increased predisposition of certain populations to develop metabolic
syndrome and diabetes. Additional studies are also needed to determine the cellular mechanisms by which fructose and uric acid induce these phenotypes. If fructose and uric acid can be identified as true remediable risk factors, then a new chapter in the prevention of obesity and metabolic syndrome will unfold.
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