Evidence of gender differences in the ability to inhibit brain activation elicited by food stimulation
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Although impaired inhibitory control is linked to a broad spectrum of health problems, including obesity, the brain mechanism(s) underlying voluntary control of hunger are not well understood. We assessed the brain circuits involved in voluntary inhibition of hunger during food stimulation in 23 fasted men and women using PET and 2-deoxy-2-[¹⁸F]fluoro-D-glucose ([¹⁸F]FDG). In men, but not in women, food stimulation with inhibition significantly decreased activation in amygdala, hippocampus, insula, orbitofrontal cortex, and striatum, which are regions involved in emotional regulation, conditioning, and motivation. The suppressed activation of the orbitofrontal cortex with inhibition in men was associated with decreases in self-reports of hunger, which corroborates the involvement of this region in processing the conscious awareness of the drive to eat. This finding suggests a mechanism by which cognitive inhibition decreases the desire for food and implicates lower ability to suppress hunger in women as a contributing factor to gender differences in obesity.

Food is a potent natural reinforcer, the value of which is further enhanced with food deprivation. Understanding the neurobiological mechanisms underlying the inhibitory control of food intake when one desires it may provide insights into how to help individuals regulate their eating behaviors and maintain a healthy body mass index (BMI). Here we evaluated the responses of the brain when subjects were exposed to appealing food either with or without a prior directive to suppress the desire for food (cognitive inhibition). For this purpose we assessed the regional brain metabolic responses to food stimulation with and without cognitive inhibition using PET and FDG (6). Because there are significant gender differences in the prevalence of obesity and eating disorders (5), we also compared the responses between men and women. We hypothesized that cognitive inhibition would decrease the activation responses of the OFC, striatum, and insula to food presentation; we also hypothesized that the inhibition effects would be stronger in men than in women.

Results

Twenty-three healthy human subjects (13 women and 10 men) were recruited for the study and asked to select their favorite foods among a menu presented to them before the day of the study. The most frequently selected food items were bacon-egg-cheese sandwich, cinnamon bun, pizza, hamburger with cheese, fried chicken, lasagna, barbecue rib, ice cream, brownie, and chocolate cake. The body weight of the subjects was between 115–200 lbs (average: 155.8 ± 24 lbs). The average BMI index of the subjects was 24.8 ± 2.7 (range: 20 to 29). There were no significant differences in age, BMI, years of education, social economic background (Table S1), and selected food items between the genders.

Rating Scores of Self-Report Questionnaire. The food stimulation condition resulted in gradual and significant increases in the ratings of hunger in both women (+43 ± 48%, P < 0.03) and men (+70 ± 76%, P < 0.002) but no significant gender differences. Both women and men also reported significant increases in desire for food (women: +37 ± 42%, P < 0.05; men: +59 ± 80%, P < 0.01) and the value ratings assigned to food (women: +32 ± 33%, P < 0.05; men: +29 ± 28%, P < 0.009) during food stimulation but there were no gender differences on these responses. The food stimulation enhanced self-reports of alertness and cognitive inhibition decreases the desire for food and implicates lower ability to suppress hunger in women as a contributing factor to gender differences in obesity.
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females showed greater metabolic decrements than males with inhibition. For none of the regions did the comparison between women (Table S3) show lower metabolism without cognitive inhibition than with inhibition. The corrected p value was set at $P < 0.01$.

PET Measures. Whole brain metabolism at baseline did not differ between the genders (Table S3). Both women ($P < 0.006$) and men ($P < 0.05$) had higher whole brain metabolism in the food stimulation condition than in the baseline conditions and the responses did not differ between the genders.

In the women, the Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) comparison between food stimulation without cognitive inhibition and the baseline condition ($P < 0.005$, uncorrected at the cluster level) showed a large contiguous cluster (82133 voxels, 43% of whole brain) in bilateral cortical (inferior frontal, parietal, temporal, occipital and cerebellar regions) and subcortical structures. The comparison between the food stimulation with cognitive inhibition and the baseline condition also showed a significant larger contiguous cluster (1534360 voxels, 83.1% of whole brain) that included similar regions to those obtained with food stimulation without cognitive inhibition. The SPM comparison between the food stimulation without and with cognitive inhibition did not show significant differences (Fig. 1A).

In the men, the SPM comparison between baseline and food stimulation without cognitive inhibition yielded two clusters: one in the right parieto-temporal cortex (1679 voxels) and one in the left OFC (499 voxels). The right parieto-temporal cluster encompassed three subclusters that included: the posterior central gyrus (Brodmann’s areas 1, $-42$, $-26$, 30); the left insula ($-44$, $-6$, 2); and the left superior temporal gyrus ($-60$, $-30$, $-2$). The significance of this cluster was $P < 0.004$ (uncorrected at the cluster level). The significance for the cluster in the right parieto-temporal cortex ($62$, $-16$, 20) was $P < 0.05$ (uncorrected at the cluster level). The cluster in the left OFC was not significant at the cluster level; however, there were two voxel-level significant regions ($-38$, $44$, $4$, $P < 0.01$; $-38$, $56$, $2$, $P < 0.02$). In contrast, the comparison between the baseline and food stimulation with cognitive inhibition showed no significant differences. SPM comparisons between food stimulation with cognitive inhibition and without inhibition revealed significant decreases in right insula, right striatum, left amygdala, left hypothalamus, left anterior cingulate (BA 24), left hippocampus (BA 28, uncus), left parahippocampal gyrus (BA 36), left OFC (BA 11), and cerebellum ($P < 0.01$) (Table 1 and Fig. 1B).

The SPM analyses were set to compare the differences between the genders on the regional brain metabolic changes in the food stimulation conditions. When this comparison occurred in food stimulation with cognitive inhibition, at a significance level of $P < 0.01$ (voxel-level), the analyses showed the men had greater decrements during the food stimulation to assess if the changes reflected nonspecifically activated brain attention/alertness pathways. The changes of alertness were correlated with feeling of hunger ($r = 0.65$, $P < 0.0009$) and desire for food ($r = 0.61$, $P < 0.002$) in both groups during the food stimulation. Nonetheless, the changes in alertness were not correlated with brain

### Table 1. Brain regions along with their stereotactic coordinates (Talairach space) where SPM showed significant higher metabolism for the food stimulation without cognitive inhibition than for the food stimulation with cognitive inhibition for the male subjects. None of the regions showed lower metabolism without cognitive inhibition than with inhibition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Stereotactic coordinates</th>
<th>T value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left anterior cingulate</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left orbitofrontal cortex</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left amygdala</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left parahippocampus</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left uncus</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right insula</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right putamen</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellar vermis</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Brain regions along with their stereotactic coordinates (Talairach space) where SPM showed significant higher metabolism for the food stimulation without cognitive inhibition than for the food stimulation with cognitive inhibition for the male subjects. None of the regions showed lower metabolism without cognitive inhibition than with inhibition.

The corrected p value was set at $P < 0.01$.

Fig. 1. SPM images ($P < 0.01$) of cognitive inhibition during food stimulation (comparison between food stimulation with and without cognitive inhibition) in women (A) and in men (B). Color-coded SPM results are displayed in a transaxial plane superimposed on a structural brain MR image. The results (T value) are presented using the color scale where blue represents deactivation as a result of cognitive inhibition. (C) SPM results ($P < 0.01$) for the difference between women (A) and men (B), showing the areas where males showed greater decrements with inhibition than females. For none of the regions did females showed greater metabolic decrements than males with inhibition.

Correlation and Regression Analyses. Correlation between feeling of hunger and desire for food were done with self-reports of alertness during the food stimulation to assess if the changes reflected nonspecifically activated brain attention/alertness pathways. The changes of alertness were correlated with feeling of hunger ($r = 0.65$, $P < 0.0009$) and desire for food ($r = 0.61$, $P < 0.002$) in both groups during the food stimulation. Nonetheless, the changes in alertness were not correlated with brain...
metabolic changes, with hunger, or with desire for food in women or in men during the cognitive inhibition condition. Correlation analysis between the differences in the behavioral and regional brain metabolic changes induced by the food stimulation with and without cognitive inhibition revealed that they were significant for the changes in self-reports of hunger and the changes in OFC (r = 0.73, P = 0.02); the greater the suppression in metabolism, the greater the decreases in the self-reports of hunger in men. This correlation did not reach significance in women (r = 0.49, P = 0.09) probably due to the modest sample size. A subsequent regression analysis with OFC as the dependent variable and with gender and changes in hunger performed with both groups revealed that the relationship between OFC and decrease in self-report of hunger is still significant (P = 0.01) while the accompanying gender effect is not (P = 0.28). This shows that the insignificant correlation in women could be the result of modest sample size. We also report that no significant correlation was found between BMI and changes in the OFC.

**Discussion**

This study shows that presentation of appetitive food stimuli to fasting subjects increased self-reports of hunger and desire for food and increased whole brain metabolism to a similar extent in women (25.8 ± 15.9%) and men (28.2 ± 21.9%). This finding is consistent with our prior study in a different group of subjects that also showed significant increases in whole brain metabolism when subjects were exposed to appetitive food stimuli (6). In the current study, we also showed that deliberate cognitive inhibition of the desire for food was effective in reducing the subjective reports of hunger and desire for food in both genders. However, whereas in men the cognitive inhibition resulted in a decrease in activation of limbic and paralimbic regions, in women cognitive inhibition did not affect regional brain metabolism. Specifically, with cognitive inhibition as compared with no inhibition, the male subjects showed significant decreases in left amygdala, left hypothalamus, left OFC, left uncus, right striatum, right insula, anterior cingulate gyrus, parahippocampus, and cerebellum. These regions, which decreased metabolism, had been shown by prior studies to be activated by food stimuli when presented via pictures, smells, taste, recall, or a combination of these (6, 9).

**Regional Brain Responses to Cognitive Inhibition During Food Stimulation.** The food stimuli used in this study included a combination of all sensations (visual, smell, taste) and were selected on the basis of an individual’s food preferences. This was done to maximize the saliency value of the food stimulus as a reinforcer for the subjects. Thus the deactivation pattern observed with inhibition in the men is likely to reflect the various regions (neuronal circuits) that need to deactivate to overcome the motivational drive to eat the food. These regions are part of the limbic system, which plays an important role in conditioning, habits, emotions, and interception, all of which participate in eating behaviors (10–12). These limbic regions integrate information related to body states into higher-order cognitive, motivational, and emotional processes. A wide network of interconnected brain regions including OFC, ventral striatum, amygdala, hippocampus, parahippocampus, and insula has been widely implicated in many aspect of eating behaviors (i.e., desire for food, food craving) and appears to contribute to compulsive overeating and obesity (13).

Similar to the findings from an fMRI study that reported that cognitive inhibition of sexual arousal upon exposure to an erotic video resulted in decreased activation of the amygdala (14), we also show deactivation of the left amygdala with cognitive inhibition during food stimulation in the male subjects. The amygdala is part of the limbic system and plays a central role in the processing and memory of emotional reactions that are important in the establishment of conditioned responses such as sexual arousal and in the responses to novel stimuli (15, 16). These behaviors could also involve in feeding behavior including learning and recognition of the biological significance of food-related objects (17). Functional neuroimaging studies have shown activation of the amygdala with food-related stimuli, such as tastes and odors as well as with sensations from the gastrointestinal tract (18–20). The amygdala has also been shown to be involved in signaling the impending delivery of glucose and in predicting food odors in appetitive-conditioning paradigms (21, 22). Due to limited spatial resolutions of the imaging modalities, most of these imaging studies did not distinguish among the various amygdaloid nuclei. Experimental lesions in laboratory animals and clinical reports in patients with temporal lobe damage or lobectomies indicate different roles for the various amygdaloid nuclei in obesity and eating behaviors. Using fMRI to assess the brain activation response to gastric distention we reported an association between activation in the left posterior amygdala and the subjective feelings of fullness (20). Indeed, experimental lesions in the most posterior dorsal part of the amygdala induce hyperphagia as well as excessive weight gain in animals (23). These lesions result in extensive retrograde degeneration in the stria terminalis and ventromedial hypothalamic nuclei. In contrast, experimental lesions in the basolateral amygdala, which is involved with the learning of conditioned associations, interrupted conditioned responses to food cues (24). The basolateral amygdala sends a substantial output to the lateral hypothalamus both through a direct connection and through relays in other brain regions such as striatum, prefrontal cortical areas, insula, or hippocampus (25).

This study showed that cognitive inhibition suppressed the activation of various limbic brain regions. Control of the expression of conditioned responses in the amygdala is believed to occur via activation of inhibitory circuits originating from prefrontal cortex, anterior cingulate, hippocampal, and parahippocampal regions (26). The cingulate gyrus and the prefrontal cortex are brain regions that have been implicated in various components of inhibitory control (27), in the control of intentional actions (28), and in self-monitoring and in impulsivity (29). These behaviors could influence the ability of an individual to self-regulate their eating behavior. Indeed, failure of inhibitory control mechanisms can lead to overexpression of behaviors driven by strong conditioned associations that can appear as pathological states such as drug addiction (30). In fact, we showed that in healthy obese subjects who have reduced striatal dopamine D2 receptor availability (31) these reductions were associated with decreased metabolism in OFC and in anterior cingulate regions (4). Similarly, we have also shown in healthy

**Table 2. Regions and their stereotactic coordinates where males showed significantly higher decrements in metabolism between food stimulation without and with cognitive inhibition when compared with females.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>BA</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>T value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Left orbitofrontal cortex</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>−19</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>−15</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left uncus</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>−23</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left parahippocampus</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>−33</td>
<td>−21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left amygdala</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right amygdala</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>−20</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right putamen</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellar vermis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−49</td>
<td>−11</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
controls that higher BMI is associated with decreased metabolism in prefrontal cortex (including anterior cingulate and OFC) and with associated impairments in executive function (32). It is possible that decreased activity in prefrontal cortex and anterior cingulate may increase vulnerability to overeat through impairment in inhibitory control processes. In the current study we did not include subjects with BMI greater than 30. Further studies in obese subjects using the same study paradigm is needed to understand if failure for cognitive inhibition to deactivate limbic regions with exposure to palatable food stimuli is associated with their excessive BMI.

When activated by emotional arousal, the amygdala is known to modulate memory storage in the hippocampal and parahippocampal regions (33). Imaging studies have shown that the desire to eat a specific food was associated with activation of the hippocampus, implicating the involvement of this region in storing and retrieving the memories for the desired food (34). Thus hippocampal suppression during cognitive inhibition is likely to contribute to the suppression of the desire for the food and presumably also for the suppression of food intake (35). Indeed, experimental damage to the hippocampus can result in hyperphagia (36). The hippocampus also modulates saliency of stimuli through regulation of DA release in the ventral striatum (37) and is involved in incentive motivation (38) and behavioral control (35). It also regulates activity in prefrontal regions involved with inhibitory control (39). A recent study showed that tasting a liquid meal resulted in decreased activity in posterior hippocampus in obese and previously obese but not in lean subjects implicating the hippocampus in the neurobiology of obesity (40).

The OFC has been identified as a secondary gustatory cortex and is involved with the rapid learning of associations from visual, olfactory, and taste sensations (41). The OFC receives projections from the insula, striatum, and amygdala. These connections place the OFC in a position to process information about the motivational value of gustatory stimuli (42). Using the same food stimulation paradigm, we previously showed enhanced metabolic activity in the OFC during food stimulation, which is in part regulated by DA activity, and we interpreted the activation to reflect downstream effects from DA release (6). Thus, the findings of decreased metabolic activation of the OFC to food stimulation with cognitive inhibition may reflect a decrease in DA cell activation and concomitant regulation of OFC since DA’s effects in the OFC in part mediate the influence of DA’s involvement in the drive for food consumption. Alternatively, the decreased activation of OFC with cognitive inhibition could decrease the stimulation of DA cell firing in ventral tegmental area (VTA) via cortico-mesencephalic projections, reducing the saliency and motivational value of the food. While the current and prior studies assessed the subjects in a fasting state, the activation of the OFC has also been reported to predict feeding behaviors when subjects are in a fed state (43). The hypothalamus and brain stem are thought to be the principal homeostatic brain regions responsible for the regulation of body weight (44). However, the OFC can be activated during food stimulation even in the presence of postprandial satiety factors (43). Thus the regulation of eating behaviors could be easily switched from a homeostatic state to a hedonic corticolimbic state. The association between suppression of orbitofrontal activation and hunger in men provides further evidence that the OFC plays a key role in the regulation of eating behaviors. Moreover, fMRI studies showing that the OFC is activated with responses associated with immediate reinforcement as opposed to responses associated with temporal delay of the reinforcement (45) also suggest that activation of the OFC may underlie choices that result in immediate food consumption.

**Gender Differences in Food Stimulation.** A recent fMRI study reported that women had greater reactivity to food stimuli in brain regions that process visual and taste sensations (46). In our study, we did not find a gender difference in the brain metabolic responses to food stimulation for a significance level of $P < 0.01$. However, at the significance level of $P < 0.05$, we observed a greater reactivity in the right anterior frontal (BA 10), left anterior cingulate (BA 9), and left OFC (BA 11) in women than in men during the food stimulation without cognitive inhibition (Fig. S1). The prefrontal dorsolateral cortex (BA 9 and 10) was associated with attention/alertness, working memory, and with inhibition of intentional actions (47), whereas the OFC is involved with salience attribution to reinforcers (e.g., food in this study) (48). The greater activation of the prefrontal cortex and OFC in the women during food stimulation could reflect the modulation of these brain regions by gonadal steroid hormones (49). Indeed in women, activation of the frontal cortex during a working memory task is associated with estradiol levels in the menstrual cycle (50). fMRI studies have also shown that estrogen levels modulate the responses of brain regions that process emotions and reward signals (51). Thus, these findings suggest that estrogen may have contributed to the gender differences in the regional brain responses during food stimulation.

**Gender Differences in Cognitive Inhibition.** Here we also report a significant gender difference in the regional brain responses to cognitive inhibition. In women, different from what was observed in men, the decrease in the self-reports of hunger were not paralleled by deactivation in limbic and paralimbic brain regions. Women reported lower subjective feeling of hunger even though the activation of limbic and paralimbic brain regions were similar to when they were not exerting cognitive inhibition. This would appear as dissociation between subjective perception of the motivational saliency of the food and the level of activation of brain regions that assign its motivational value. To our knowledge, there are no prior studies describing gender differences between subjective reports of emotional or motivational states and the associated patterns of regional brain activation/deactivations. This is an area that merits further investigation for if indeed there are differences between the genders on the perception of interoceptive signals, this could also contribute to the observed differences in the prevalence rates of eating disorders and obesity between the genders (52).

Our findings of a lack of response to inhibition in women are consistent with behavioral studies showing significantly higher scores in disinhibition (tendency to overeat in response to food stimuli when presented with palatable food or under emotional distress) in women than in men (53). The decreased inhibitory control in women could underlie their lower success in losing weight while dieting when compared with men (8). Sex hormones directly influence food intake, body weight, and fat distribution and modulate the signaling of leptin and insulin (54). Indeed, female rats are more sensitive to the anorexic effects of leptin than male rats (55). Leptin in the brain enhances the response to satiety signals and decreases perception of food reward during food consumption (56). Leptin also modulates food-related mesolimbic sensitivity to visual food stimulation (57). Furthermore, gonadal steroid hormones affect neurotransmitter turnover in prefrontal regions and in the ventral tegmental area, where DA cells are located (58). Female gonadal hormone levels fluctuate during the rat estrous cycle affecting the release and reuptake of neurotransmitters (i.e., DA, serotonin and norepinephrine) that are involved in satiety and motivation to eat (59).

**Limitations.** Our findings are confounded by the fact that we did not control for the time of the menstrual cycle at which the studies were performed nor did we measure gonadal hormones. The menstrual cycle is likely to influence the brain responses to food since the pattern of estradiol secretion during the ovarian cycle has been shown to affect eating behavior; e.g., women eat...
more during the luteal and menstrual phases than the follicular and peri-ovulatory phases (60). Future studies that compare women in specific phases of the menstrual cycle are warranted to understand hormonal effects on cognitive inhibition and its effect on food cue induced brain activation. Another limitation for this study is the modest sample size; further studies with larger samples are warranted. The effect of food stimulation and cognitive inhibition relied on cooperation of the participants and on self-report measures of subjective effects.

Conclusion
Here we show suppression of metabolic activation in amygdala, hippocampus, insula, OFC, and striatum during food presentation with cognitive inhibition in men but not in women. These regions are involved in the regulation of satiety and motivation to eat, suggesting their involvement in the neurobiological mechanisms underlying cognitive inhibition of the desire for food. The association between suppression of orbitofrontal activation and hunger in men corroborates the involvement of this region in processing motivation for food consumption. Lower cognitive control of brain responses to food stimulation in women compared to men may contribute to gender differences in the prevalence rates of obesity and other eating disorders.

Materials and Methods
Subjects. The Institutional Review Board at Stony Brook University/ Brookhaven National Laboratory approved the protocol. Written informed consents were obtained after the experimental procedure was explained and after the subjects had read the consent form. Twenty-three healthy human subjects (13 women and 10 men, age 32.6 ± 7.5 years old, range: 22–48) with body mass index (kg/m²) less than 30 were recruited for the study. Before the experiment, subjects were instructed to observe and spontaneously react to the food stimuli in the scanner and image acquisitions were started at 35 min after FDG injection. The stimulation completed, the subjects were positioned in the gantry of the PET camera; horizontal alignment of the participants with the radiotracer, and transmission and emission scans have been published (6). Briefly, two i.v. lines were inserted and maintained with saline and heparin. Arterialized venous blood was obtained from a catheter placed in a dorsal hand vein. The hand was warmed to 48 °C in a heating box, which ensured the shifting of arterial blood to the vein. The other catheter was in the antecubital region of the opposite arm for tracer injection. One emission scan was performed 5 min after FDG injection of 35 mCi. During the experiment, subjects were positioned supine in the PET camera with their eyes open; the room was dimly lit, and noise was kept to a minimum. During the PET studies, participants were instructed to orally respond to each descriptor using a whole number between 1 and 10 for the self-report of “hunger” and “desire of food,” which were obtained before the food presentation and then at 5 min intervals for a total of 45 min. The 18FDG was injected 15 min after food stimulation started and scanning was carried out for 20 min.

Data Analysis. Both manual drawn preselected regions of interest (ROIs) and Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM) analyses were used. ROIs in amygdala, anterior cingulate, caudate, frontal cortex, hippocampus, insula, OFC, parietal cortex, putamen, temporal cortex, and thalamus were obtained using a template, which we had previously published (6, 61). We also computed a value for global metabolism by averaging the activity in the 63 planes scanned. Differences in metabolism between the measures obtained in the baseline, food presentation, and food stimulation with cognitive inhibition conditions were tested with paired samples t tests. Pearson product moment correlations were used to assess the relationship between the changes in metabolism (i.e., amygdala, anterior cingulate, hippocampus, striatum, OFC) and the change in the behavioral measures (desire for food, feelings of hunger, ratings of food) between the food presentation and food stimulation conditions. Differences in metabolism between the 3 conditions were also tested on the voxel-level using the software package for SPM (62). Before the analysis, each subject's PET image was mapped onto the Montreal Neurological Institute template, which closely resembles the Talairach brain, and smoothed via a Gaussian kernel with full width half maximum (FWHM) at 16 mm. The analysis, which was essentially a paired sample t test performed on each voxel, was performed using the absolute metabolic images. Multiple-test correction was performed via the random field theory less conservative than traditional approaches such as the Bonferroni method (63). Pixels that were significantly different from those in the neutral presentation (P = 0.01) were identified with respect to the Talairach and Tournoux stereotactic coordinates (64) and displayed on the axial magnetic resonance images. The threshold for the cluster size was set at 100 voxels. Only regions with corrected p-values ≤ 0.01 at the cluster-level were considered significantly activated or deactivated.
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18FDG Imaging. Subjects were scanned with 2-deoxy-2[18F]fluoro-D-glucose FDG using a Siemens HR+ PET scanner. Details on procedures for positioning of the head, immobilization of the participants, and intravenous catheterization of the antecubital region. FDG was produced using a Siemens PET Radiopharmaceutical Center (Potsdam, Germany) and injected intravenously (15 mCi). PET imaging was performed using a Siemens HR+ PET scanner. Details on procedures for positioning of the participants, and intravenous catheterization of the antecubital region. FDG was produced using a Siemens PET Radiopharmaceutical Center (Potsdam, Germany) and injected intravenously (15 mCi). PET imaging was performed using a Siemens HR+ PET scanner.


